Public Works and Buildings Committee <u>City Hall Council Chambers</u> <u>Meeting Minutes</u> March 21, 2024, 6PM

MEMBERS PRESENT

Chairman - Councilor Hamann Councilor Alexander de Geofroy Councilor Kevin Sullivan Councilor David Walker **MEMBERS ABSENT** Councilor Daniel Fitzpatrick **OTHERS PRESENT**

Peter C. Nourse PE, Director of City Service Lisa J. Clark, Deputy Director Gretchen Young, Deputy of Technical ServicesDan Camara, Coordinator GIS & Asset Mgmt. Ian Rohrbacher, Water System Superintendent Kiihakr Daved Myight Fingineers Tom Page, Underwood Engineers



Councilor de Geofroy called the Public Works and Building Committee to order at 6 PM

1. Roll Call

Ms. Clark took the roll call attendance. Councilor Sullivan, Councilor Walker and Councilor de Geofroy were all present. Councilor Hamann and Councilor Fitzpatrick were not present for roll call. Councilor Haman joined the meeting at 7:10.

2. Approval of the February 22, 2024, PWC Minutes

Councilor Walker made a motion to accept the minutes of February 22, 2024, meeting as presented. Councilor Sullivan seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously.

3. Public Input

There was no public present for public input.

4. Rochester Drinking Water Story Map

Mr. Nourse informed the committee that they would be hearing a lot about Rochester drinking water this evening. He stated that there will be presentations on current efforts to ensure Rochester will continue to provide adequate quantities of some of the best quality drinking water in the State of New Hampshire. Mr. Nourse displayed a story map of the water system on the monitors. He stated that this story map was produced by the Geographic Information System (GIS) Staff at the Department of Public Works (DPW) and that is currently in draft form. He noted that once completed it will be posted on the City website for public viewing.

5. Round Pond Reservoir Augmentation: Project Overview and Update

Public Works & Building Committee Meeting Minutes March 21, 2024

Mr. Nourse noted that the project to raise the water level at Round Pond was started approximately 10 years ago, but records indicate that interest in raising the operational level goes back to the 1920s. Mr. Nourse stated that raising the operational level of this reservoir significantly increases its volume and the firm yield of our watershed. He stated it will also allow us to maintain the ecological health of the Berry's River. Mr. Nourse introduced Rick Davee of Wright Pierce Engineers who was present to give a presentation and overview of the Round Pond Reservoir Augmentation Project. Mr. Davie stated he worked with the City of Rochester on water projects for over twenty-five years. He presented the attached Power Point which includes information on Round Pond and the next agenda topic for the Salmon Fall Booster Station Project. Mr. Davee explained that in the recent five years City Staff and Wright Pierce have working to get the Round Pond and Berry River watershed permit process started and working on getting permission to flood the private properties. He noted that Rochester owns ninety percent of the surrounding property in the Berry's River Watershed, but there are seven privately owned properties that the City needs to seek permission from because it increases the water on those properties. Mr. Davee stated that all but one of the seven property owners have signed off and that the last property has verbally committed and will be meeting with the City soon. Mr. Davee noted that raising the pond by eight feet will yield another two million gallons per day and that this project will secure the water necessary to support the City's planned growth and needs through 2060. Mr. Nourse noted that the project has an estimated cost of eight million dollars, and he will start seeking funding in the Fiscal year 2025 and 2026 capital budgets to support this effort. Mr. Nourse informed the Committee that the City Staff had submitted for drinking water state revolving fund loans, but this project did not score high enough in their ranking criteria to obtain those funds. Mr. Nourse stated that he wants to keep the momentum up and still has a few years to go on this project.

6. Salmon Falls Booster Pump Station Upgrades: Project Overview

Mr. Nourse gave a little background on the booster pump station that was built in 1984 along with the Salmon Falls Road Water Tank. Mr. Nourse stated that this pump station needs to be rebuilt as it is over 40 years old, and it does not have the capacity needed now nor in the future to support growth. Mr. Nourse stated that the Salmon Falls Road corridor is seeing rapid growth, the Granite State Business Park has requested more flow, a new school is to be built on Salmon Falls Road, and new residential subdivisions are crowding into this corridor. Mr. Nourse reminded the Committee that last October it was discussed to shift the surplus of the City's American Rescue Plan Act (ARPA) funds from a completed water project to this water project. Mr. Nourse noted the City Council authorized that shift to offset the cost of this booster pump station. Mr. Nourse further stated that the ARPA funds that were transferred to this project are \$1.5 million dollars, and the overall project estimate is \$3.25 million. He explained that the committee that he would request the difference of \$1.775 million dollars in this upcoming FY2025 budget. Mr. Davee continued his PowerPoint presentation and discussed the Salmon Falls Booster Pump Station. His PowerPoint showed the history of the project, the purpose of the project, and the existing conditions of the pump station. He noted the project will consist of demolition of existing pump station, associated piping, valves, and hydrants. He explained that there would be a new prefabricated above ground booster pump station, 3 pumps, space

for future pump if needed, supporting electrical equipment, emergency generator, site improvements and it would incorporate the existing infrastructure as possible. He noted that the project will need temporary power and there will be no modifications to the old Salmon Falls Standpipe that is solely used for communications.

7. Surface Water Treatment Facility Hydraulic Analysis and Water Treatment Residuals Management: Project Overviews

Mr. Nourse stated that the Surface Water Treatment Facility was built in 1985. He noted that there has been some upgrades over the years to allow the City to comply with changing environmental regulations. Mr. Nourse stated the water treatment facility was designed to produce up to five- million gallons per day of treated drinking water. Mr. Nourse further noted that recently it has been determined that its output is significantly less than the designed five million gallons per day and certainly not what the City will require in the future. Tom Page and Keith Pratt of Underwood Engineers were present with a PowerPoint presentation (attached) to go over how the current facility operates and hydraulic limitations. They also discussed the existing and future water demands, the existing and target supply sources and existing and target treatment capacity of the treatment plant. Mr. Page stated that the surface water treatment plant treats using conventional sedimentation, filtration, and disinfection processes. He noted that the hydraulics study showed that the original design was five million gallons in 1986 when the treatment plant went on line, however compliance and other operational improvements have impacted hydraulics of the plant and have limited the actual output capability. He noted the reservoir intake screen project, the clear well baffling project, the filter upgrade project, the low lift pump station project, and the elimination of pre-chlorination prior to filters as projects that may have impacted the hydraulics and operations. Mr. Page stated that Underwood is working to determine the hydraulic restrictions and identify improvements needed to meet the target capacity. He stated that there are interim improvements that would get the plant to 3.4 million gallons without exceeding the current restricted points at Water Treatment Plant and there are long term improvements planned to meet the target of 5 million gallons per Mr. Nourse explained that the Council will see funding request for capital day. improvement funds to keep these initiatives moving towards completion.

8. Cocheco Drinking Water Well Upgrades for Manganese, Iron and PFAS: Project Overview and Update

Mr. Nourse gave an overview of this project noting that this has been discussed in the previous budgets and also at the Public Works and Buildings Committee in February of 2023. Mr. Nourse said that manganese is a natural occurring element and common in groundwater. Mr. Nourse noted he reported that in 2022 NHDES attempted to regulate manganese as a primary contaminant in treated drinking water at 0.3 milligram, with a public notice at required 0.1 milligram. Mr. Nourse stated that those regulations were not realized and currently it is classed as a secondary contaminant, or non-life threatening and has a secondary limit of 0.05 milligram per liter. He noted that the NHDES 2022 efforts failed, but Mr. Nourse stated that the regulators are likely attempt this again. Mr. Nourse explained that a reduction of manganese and iron would improve the water quality, especially in East Rochester. Mr. Nourse also stated that last April he reported that the EPA had proposed a National Primary Drinking Water Regulation to establish a legally enforceable maximum contaminant level for six PFAS compounds in drinking water. Mr.

Nourse stated that these new limits are a fraction of the current regulatory limits. He stated the six compounds are PFOS, PFOA and 4 Genx compounds. Mr. Nourse stated the well contains Manganese and PFAS at limits that are near those of possible regulation. Mr. Nourse stated the current costs to add treatment trains to the well to treat for manganese is about \$14 million and for PFAS it would be more. Mr. Nourse stated that through Drinking Water Trust Fund loans and grants and an American Rescue Plan Act (ARPA) grant the City has obtained about \$5.6 million towards this estimate. Mr. Nourse said since these new Manganese and PFAS regulations are not currently in effect, the City is using only the ARPA grant funds to advance the design of the upgrades. Mr. Nourse said he feels strongly that PFAS treatment will be a future requirement and perhaps Manganese. Mr. Nourse stated currently they are advancing design and when regulations are issued, he hopes to have other funding sources line up to fund the upgrades. Councilor de Geofroy asked a question on the previous hydraulic discussion. He asked when you remove the restrictions in the process, will you find other unanticipated restrictions in the system? Mr. Page of Underwood Engineers said it is possible, and they are doing some further investigations to identify all restrictions. Underwood Engineers presented a power point presentation (attached) to go over the purpose, history, existing conditions & deficiencies, conceptual improvement concepts. Mr. Pratt stated that they believe the path for previously discussed work is to complete Round Pond Reservoir Augmentation, advance surface water treatment plant interim improvements, the hydraulic improvements, the residuals project, and the advance treatment at Cocheco well, Iron/Manganese and PFAS. The long-term improvement recommendations are to plan for long-term improvements at surface water treatment plant and consider 3rd groundwater source if needed and cost effective. Councilor Sullivan asked about increasing rates on the wastewater treatment side and water supply side if this was incorporated in the discussion from a previous meeting. Mr. Nourse said the previous discussion was on wastewater rates only and it did not incorporate potential water rates. Councilor Hamann asked if they are PFAs testing now. Mr. Nourse stated they have been testing for years but are not required to treat right now. Councilor Walker asked where in the process are the contaminants found at the plant. Mr. Nourse said they have not pinpointed where in the treatment stream and noted that it could be from system components such as rubber tubing or plastic pump parts.

9. Eastern Avenue Sewer Pipe Rehabilitation Overview and Bid Results and Next Steps (Refer to January 19, 2024, Public Works Committee Minutes for background) Mr. Nourse stated he hoped the committee was able to review the minutes or video from the 1/19/2023 Public Works and Buildings Committee. Mr. Nourse stated the Eastern Avenue sewer pipe was videoed and noted to have major structural problems. Mr. Nourse stated that this pipe had issues in 2018 when there was a major collapse near the Highland Street area. Mr. Nourse explained that this project is a major rehab of over a mile of deteriorated sewer main pipe on Eastern Avenue. Mr. Nourse noted it is a major trunk line of sewerage. Mr. Nourse stated the base bid scope includes rehabbing over a mile of deteriorated sewer main under Eastern Avenue. Mr. Nourse stated that as the project was being prepared for bid, drainage projects were added as alternates to address failing drainage pipes at three separate locations. He stated that this similar work is necessary to avoid failure and the cost of excavating collapsed drainage pipes. Mr. Nourse stated the

award is flexible and allows the City to choose to award just the base bid or any combination of base bid and alternates. Mr. Nourse noted that they have \$1,315,000 from the Sewer CIP appropriations for Eastern Ave. He then explained that there is \$97,995 available from the annual corrective drainage CIP account. Mr. Nourse stated there were 3 bidders and the apparent low bidder is National Watermain Cleaning Co. of Canton, MA. Mr. Nourse further stated they have a good reputation and are actually doing the Closed-Circuit Television (CCTV) work Rochester for the Sewer System Master Plan (SSMP). Mr. Nourse presented the committee with the bid tab and noted just sewer base bid total with planned contingency is \$1,309,566 and the available \$1,315,000 will be sufficient. He stated the two drainage bid alternates with contingency total \$240,372. Mr. Nourse explained that there is an available balance of \$97,995 in the General Fund CIP Corrective Drainage Account, leaving a deficit of \$142,377.00 for the necessary drainage work. These 3 drain culverts in bad shape and need to be addressed, he notes, one is below a roadway, one is outside of the pavement, and one is under a house. Mr. Nourse said the cost to excavate and replace would be more than twice the cost of relining. Councilor Hamann motioned to recommend that the full City Council approve a supplemental appropriation of \$150,000 to the DPW General fund CIP for Drainage Relining projects stipulated in Bid 24-27. Councilor Walker seconded the motion.

Discussion: Councilor De Geofroy asked if the lining was not completed at this time would the ability to reline the pipe be ruled out. Mr. Nourse stated that the pipes would continue to deteriorate and the ability to reline would be lost. Councilor Sullivan asked how long the relined pipe might last. Mr. Nourse stated that the technology is new, and though it is still unknown, the anticipated time is one hundred years. Councilor Sullivan asked if the pavement would be disturbed. Mr. Nourse stated the pavement would not be disturbed and that the cost savings are related to not needing to excavate and replace the pavement.

The motion passed unanimously by voice vote.

10. Other

Public Information Meeting-Portland Street Sidewalks

Mr. Nourse said on April 3, 2024, at 7:00 PM at The Public Works Department, 209 Chestnut Hill Road there will be a local concern meeting regarding the Portland Street Sidewalk Project. Mr. Nourse stated that this is a joint project with NH DOT that will add about 6,400 feet of brand-new sidewalk along Portland Street down Chamberlain Street to Salmon Falls Road. Mr. Nourse noted that all the abutters have been invited and he also said that the committee is welcome to attend, the ward Councilors, the Mayor, Deputy Mayor and City Manager have also been invited. Mr. Nourse said it is a local concern meeting where they will hear comments, equant people with the idea of the project, listen to comments and answer questions to the best of our ability.

Roundabout Color Schemes

Mr. Nourse stated that Rochester will be seeing more roundabouts, and he wants to get the committees input on the color of ride up of the orbit the middle of the roundabout it is an aesthetic question not a functional question. Mr. Nourse stated that some of them are concrete. Mr. Nourse stated that to make them colored you put an additive in the concrete. Councilor Sullivan asked if there was a cost difference for the colored. Mr. Nourse stated that Strafford Square was about \$12,000 more. The committee said the

Public Works & Building Committee Meeting Minutes March 21, 2024 gray does not look bad. Councilor Hamann said that the Strafford Square Roundabout will need to be repayed again and needs to be well marked once the paying is done.

Pavement Disturbance Moratorium Waiver Request

Mr. Nourse stated that a single-family home is going in at 148 Old Dover Road and they are requested to tie into City water. Mr. Nourse said that Old Dover Road was paved in 2023 so it was less than five years. Mr. Nourse stated per the ordinance a Moratorium waiver would need to be considered. Mr. Nourse stated that they put their permit in before the road was paved, they weren't told the road is going to be paved. They are trying to put the house on the market in April and due to the fact that they had put the permits in, the housing shortage and that they don't have to go far onto the pavement. Mr. Nourse would recommend the Moratorium Waiver. Mr. Nourse further noted that due to Chapter 223 surety is now required on excavation on Moratorium roads, so they will have to have surety. *Councilor Walker made a motion to recommend to full Council to grant the pavement disturbance moratorium waiver request for 148 Old Dover Road. Councilor Hamann seconded the motion. The motion- passed unanimously by voice vote.*

Councilor Walker made a motion to adjourn the meeting. The motion was seconded by Councilor Hamann. The meeting was adjourned at 7:32 PM

Minutes respectfully submitted by Laura McDormand, DPW Administration & Utility Billing Supervisor



Surface Water Supply Expansion Project

Rochester, New Hampshire

ROCHESTER

February 2024

Engineering a Better Environment

Richard Davee, PE -Wright-Pierce **Tracy Tarr - GZA**



Berry's River Watershed





Isinglass River Watershed





Round Pond

- Round Pond is located entirely in Barrington, approximately 3,000 feet south of the Rochester Reservoir
- Largest of the reservoirs 350 million gallons
- It can either receive water from Berry's River through the 24-inch diversion pipeline or discharge water to the Rochester Reservoir
- Filled primarily during the spring melt and rainy seasons



Water Audit – understanding current usage, natural inefficiencies, and where room for improvement



Supply Capacity – existing and how it can be improved



Demand/Consumption – now and in the future



Supply Capacities (Firm Yield)

Rochester's Reservoirs – Existing Elevations

Reservoir	Full Pond Elevation	Firm Yield (mgd)
Tufts Pond Reservoir	482 ft.	1 mgd (no change)
Berry River Dam Impoundment	401 ft.	-0-
Rochester Reservoir	375 ft.	0.25 mgd
Round Pond Reservoir	390 ft.	1.0 mgd
	Total	2.25 mgd

 Firm yields of Rochester's existing reservoirs (existing elevations) subject to minimum stream flow requirements



Supply Capacity (Firm Yields) of Rochester's Reservoirs

Round Pond Reservoir Elevation Increased

Reservoir	Full Pond Elevation	Firm Yield (mgd)
Tufts Pond Reservoir	482 ft.	1 mgd (no change)
Berry River Dam Impoundment	401 ft.	-0-
Rochester Reservoir	375 ft.	0.25 mgd
Round Pond Reservoir	398 ft.	2.64 mgd
	Total	3.89 mgd

- Firm yields of Rochester's reservoirs (Round Pond Reservoir elevation increased to 398 ft) subject to minimum stream flow requirements
- Increasing the water elevation Round Pond Reservoir to El 398 bring the Firm Yield of the surface Water Supply close to Firm Yield (3.89MGD) w/out minimum stream flow requirements



Existing & Projected 40-Year Demands with Alternative Firm Yields





Future Water Supply Management

- Raising Round Pond's elevation could almost double storage with minimal impact
- Harvest a greater abundance of river flow
- Periods of >50 cfs (22500 gpm, 32MGD) in river that we could store for the summer months
- Help to improve and manage downstream flows
- Close diversion value and draw down Round Pound, maintaining river flow
- Prepares us for impact of in-stream flow regulations



Scope of Work



Impact Assessment





- Set the baseline with existing data
- Comparative analysis of existing pond elevation to 8-foot increase
- Used the mapped areas, habitat types, and species data we had to develop a baseline scenario
- Assessed the potential for impact to the mapped areas, habitat types, and species data in terms of level of impact
- Analyzed the "after" scenario to identify "new" extents of habitat types, in terms of increases and decreases



Rare Species



In Area of Inundation

- Blanding's = State Endangered
- Records known from Round Pond and "Pond C"
- Scrub-Shrub, Aquatic Bed, Marshes, and Vernal Pools provide important habitats
- Fish and Game requested Trapping
- Need to time water level changes to avoid impacts to overwintering sites



Sample Layout





Results

Rare Species



 Turtle trapping was completed during 2015 & 2016 according to regional protocols

View of Turtle Trap in "Pond C"



Results

BL001 "Dragon"



Captured in Pond 3



Transmitter Attachment





Results

BL002 "Storm"



Captured in Pond 3 – Near BL001



Radiotelemetry





Radiotelemetry





Overall Results

- Project is expected to maintain large portions of most habitats (except vernal pools)
- Maintaining high habitat diversity serves to maintain high wildlife diversity
- 11 additional vernal pools were observed nearby outside of inundation area
- project creates 22.25 acres of wetland and open water habitat
- Fish and Game will expect minimization of potential habitat changes to Blanding's turtle habitats







Questions?





Contact Information



RICHARD DAVEE

rick.davee@wright-pierce.com (603).570.7100







Salmon Falls Water BPS Replacement

Rick Davee, PE

WRIGHT-PIERCE \approx

March 2024



Project Map





Existing Site







Project Background/Need

- Existing Salmon Falls Booster Pump Station (SFBPS) has limited functionality and reached end of useful life.
 - Current station cannot provide the required flow nor pressure
 - Originally installed in 1984, offline since 2012
 - During peak use, level of service has been dropping

Richardson Street Booster Pump Station (RSBPS)

- 2018 Asset Management Plan (AMP) recommends replacement of pumps, motors, main Programmable Logic Controller (PLC), and instrumentation
- SFBPS will support future upgrades, provide redundance, and improve pressure to RSBPS and Rochester Hill Pressure Zone.
- Albany Engineered Composites has requested additional flow up to 900 gallons per minute (gpm) by 2028 to support their business.
- A proposed school is to be constructed at approximately 727 Salmon Falls Road that will require additional flows.
- Residential subdevelopments in service area.



Project Description

- Demolition of existing pump stations and associated piping, valves, hydrants, and UGE.
- New Prefabricated Above Ground Booster Pump Station
 - Three pumps
 - Space for future pump if needed
 - Supporting electrical equipment
 - Emergency generator
 - Site improvements
 - Incorporate existing infrastructure as possible
- Temporary Power Required.
- No modifications to retired Salmon Falls Standpipe, used for communications.



Proposed Floor Plan





<u>Cost Estimate – October 2023</u>

Salmon Falls Water Booster Pump Station

Category	Amount
Engineering/Design/Planning Costs	\$480,000
Construction	\$2,500,000
Construction Contingency	\$295,000
Land Acquisitions/Easements	\$0
Total Project Costs	\$3,275,000



Contact Information



RICK DAVEE

rick.davee@wright-pierce.com (603).570.7100






Public Works Committee Meeting Rochester, NH

> Water Supply Projects Underwood Engineers March 21, 2024



Agenda

- 1. Introductions
- 2. Water Supply Demands and Resources
- 3. Water Supply Targets
- 4. Water Treatment Plant Residuals
- 5. Water Treatment Plant Hydraulics
- 6. Cocheco Well Treatment
- 7. Roadmap for Improvements



Water Supply Demands and Resources



Annual Water Demands

Annual Average & Maximum Daily Water Demand 3.5 Daily Demand (MGD) 5.2 1.2 ----Maximum Day Demand (MGD) ----Annual Average Day Demand (MGD) 0.5

Year

Average demands up 28% between 2014 and 2021 and up by 10% in 2020-2022

Rainy summer in 2023 = reduced irrigation

Monthly Water Demands and Sources



Seasonal fluctuation in demands

Cocheco is a currently a lower cost source and helps with water quality because of DBP's and helps with MDD

Cocheco Well = 12% to 23% or 0.4 MGD average

Existing and Future Water Demands

Description	Current	2040	2060
Annual Average Day (MGD)	2.18	2.36	2.61
Maximum Day Demand (MGD)	3.51	3.67	4.10

• Based on Wright-Pierce 2021 Supply and Demand Study



We need to get back to 5.0 MGD

Available Supplies



engineers

Existing and Target Supply Sources

Berry's River Reservoir System - Firm Yield vs. Average Day Demand

6				⊑i GW ∎ SW
4			Groundwater 1.0 MGD (With Fe/Mn + PFAS Treatment)	
MGD 3	Groundwater 1.0 MGD (No Fe/Mn Treatment)	2060 ADD = 2.61 MGD		
2	 Surface Water	Existing ADD = 2.18 MGD	Surface Water Round Pond	
1	2.25 MGD		Raised 3.89 MGD	
U	 Existing Supply Drought Conditions		Target Supply Drought Conditions	

Existing and Target Treatment Capacity

Treatment Plant Firm Capacity vs. Maximum Day Demand



Annual Water Demands



Conclusions - Supply

Both Sources are Needed

- Need to Raise Round Pond Reservoir increase firm yield
- Need Treatment Improvements
 - Water Treatment Plant Residuals improve efficiency
 - Water Treatment Plant Hydraulics improve capacity
 - Cocheco well Iron/PFAS treatment
- Cocheco Well remains necessary & beneficial
 - Max day demands
 - Redundancy
 - Helps water quality by reducing disinfection byproducts



Water Treatment Plant Residuals



Surface Water Treatment Plant

- Original plant `1986
- On Strafford Road, near Rochester Reservoir
- Treats using conventional sedimentation, filtration, disinfection processes





Residuals Production



Residuals Pipeline

- 6 mile pipeline of various sizes and materials to WWTF
- History of breaks and hydraulic issues
- Estimated repair cost of \$5.8M in 2016



Alum Lagoons

 Three alum sludge lagoons located at the Wastewater Treatment Facility receive residuals for treatment





Pilot Study Goals

- Catch up on disposal of stored alum sludge
- Improve operations to handle and dewatering sludge

Strategies

- Recycle filter backwash to reduce flows
- Spread thinner layers to freeze and dry in winter
- Mixing and solar drying during the summer
- Pump station repairs





Lagoon B Buildup of 4.75 Feet of Sludge and Water (September 2022)

Pilot Results – Water Plant Residuals

Spring 2023

January 2024



 Freeze/thawed Sludge from Lagoon B spread in Lagoon C



- Caught up on sludge backlog
- Remaining sludge to be trucked to landfill

Interim Improvements

- Lagoon C repairs in 2023
 - Haul out alum sludge, dirty sand, and vegetation
 - Add new clean sand and regrade bottom
- Currently online
- Pump Station and force main improvements





Residuals - Summary & Conclusions

- Operational improvements have improved performance
- Recycling filter backwash to minimize residual flows is critical
- Plan for residual handling facilities at the Water Treatment Plant as the long term solution



Water Treatment Plant Hydraulics



Hydraulics and Supply Study

- Original design was 5 MGD in 1986
- Compliance improvements have impacted hydraulics, like
 - Reservoir intake screen, 2000
 - Clearwell baffling, 2008
 - Filter upgrade, 2015
 - Low lift pump station upgrade, 2018
 - No more pre-chlorination prior to filters
- Goals
 - Evaluate hydraulic restrictions
 - Identify improvements needed to meet target capacity





Most Limiting Hydraulic Constrictions





Water **Treatment Plant** Capacity



Table 1. Existing Hydraulic Capacity of SWTP Unit Processes					
Unit Process	Capacity	Remarks			
Raw Water Pumping	3.4 MGD	Based on 2 pumps running at 100% with one pump in standby.			
	3.75 MGD	Based on all 3 pumps at 100% speed (no redundancy).			
Coagulation/Flocculation/ Sedimentation	4.5 to 5.0 MGD	No floc carryover or excessive headloss observed at 3.75 MGD. Meets typical design standards up to 4.5 to 5.0 MGD but needs to be confirmed.			
	3.4 MGD	If one of three trains is out of service.			
Sand Filter	3.4 MGD	Based on filter headloss not causing inlet level to overflow and on a maximum loading rate of 3 gpm/sf per typical standards.			
Dual Media Filter	3.4 MGD	Based on filter headloss not causing influent level to back up into sand filter and on a maximum loading rate of 3 gpm/sf loading per typical standards.			
Clearwell inlet piping/meter	2.3 MGD	Based on preventing upstream water level from rising above the dual media effluent filter weir and subsequently raising levels in the dual media filter and sand filter.			
	3.4 MGD	Based on allowing upstream water level to rise and backup into the filters.			
Clearwell disinfection	4.1 MGD	Based on worst case CT conditions for Giardia inactivation.			
Clearwell outlet	2.3 to 3.4	Based on maximum flow out of plant with Chesley			
piping/control	MGD	Hill tank about 5 feet below overflow and control			
valve/meter		valve 100% open, capacity is less at higher tank			
		level, limiting ability to fill Salmon Falls tank.			



Interim Improvements – Preliminary Design

- Get to 3.4 MG+ without overflowing filters
- Low lift pump station piping improvements
- Clearwell piping improvements
- Tank fill controls
- Residuals handling facilities at Water Treatment Plant
- Long term improvements planning target 5.0+ MGD
 - Piping
 - Clarifier enhancements
 - New filters
 - Residuals handling

Cocheco Well



Cocheco Well Treatment Plant





Existing Facility (2011)

• Well #1

- Permitted capacity of 700 gpm
- Operates at 50% due to the water quality.
- Seasonal shutdowns based Cocheco River.
- Treatment Facility:
 - Aeration for pH adjustment, H₂S, and carbon dioxide removal.
 - Chemical feed of blended phosphate for sequestering and corrosion control, chlorine for disinfection, sodium bicarbonate for pH and alkalinity adjustment, and fluoride.







Cocheco Well #1 Pumping Restrictions





Cocheco Well #1 (RCH-1C), City of Rochester, NH Raw and Finished Water Iron 2015-2022



31

Cocheco Well #1 (RCH-1C), City of Rochester, NH Raw and Finished Water Manganese 2015-2022



PFAS Currently just below proposed standard Treatment is Planned

Contaminant	Existing MCL	EPA Proposed MCL	Concentration (Finished Water)
PFOA (ng/L)	12	4	3.07 - 3.80
PFOS (ng/L)	15	4	2.19 - 3.27
PFHxS (ng/L)	18		ND
PFNA (ng/L)	11	1.0 (unitless)	ND
HFPO-DA (ng/L)	-	Hazard Index	N/A
PFBS (ng/L)	-		N/A

Notes:

• "ND" = below detection limit

PFAS

- Samples of well water are from NHDES OneStop.
- On March 14th, 2023, EPA announced the proposed National Primary Drinking Water Regulation (NPDWR) for six PFAS compounds as shown in the table above. Until this proposed rule is finalized, there is no action required. The rule is expected in 2024 and compliance will begin three years after the rule is promulgated.

Existing Treatment Process





Design Phase – In Process

- Maintain/improve existing 13-year facility
- Greensand Plus System for Fe/Mn removal.
 - Remove Fe/Mn to below SMCLs and City target.
- GAC for PFAS removal.
 - Remove PFAS concentrations to below the EPA proposed MCLs


Proposed Treatment Process





Proposed Schedule

- Design through 2025.
- Construction TBD
- Pursue Funding:
 - City has applied for the following funding opportunities: DWSRF, CDS, and Additional DWGTF all unsuccessful so far.
 - City will engage DES once design is advanced showing the need for Cocheco Well.



Road Map for Improvements All Sources



Recommendations – Near Term

- Complete Round Pond Reservoir
- Advance Surface Water Treatment Plant Interim Improvements
 - Hydraulics
 - Residuals
- Advance treatment at Cocheco Well
 - Fe/Mn and PFAS



Recommendations – Long Term

• Plan for Long-Term Improvements at Surface Water Treatment Plant

• Consider 3rd Groundwater Source if Needed and Cost Effective





Planning Costs



Costs

• Planning costs complete to identify cost effective projects

• Next phase of engineering will finalize capital needs



Planning Capital Costs

- Surface Water Treatment Plant
 - Round Pond Reservoir = \$8M (In process)
 - Interim hydraulics = \$1M (Design in Process)
 - Long Term = \$30M (2030+)
- Cocheco Well
 - Fe/Mn = \$13.8 (Design in Process)
 - PFAS = TBD
- New Sources (TBD 2040 or beyond)
 - \$5 to \$17M



Questions











